1 RICHLAND COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 **February 6, 2017** 3 [Members Present: Patrick Palmer, Ed Greenleaf [in at 1:18], Beverly Frierson, Stephen 4 Gilchrist, Christopher Anderson, David Tuttle, Wallace Brown, Sr.: Absent: Heather 5 Cairns, Bill Theus] 6 7 Called to order: 1:08 pm 8 [Recorder malfunction at start of meeting] 9 MR. BROWN: - officers, Mr. Stephen Gilchrist as Chairman and Ms. Heather 10 Cairns as Vice-Chairman be re-elected. 11 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, there's a motion – 12 MR. ANDERSON: I'll second. 13 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Second? Alright. All those in favor of keeping the 14 current officers in place please signify by raising your hand. All opposed? 15 [Approved: Palmer, Frierson, Gilchrist, Anderson, Tuttle, Brown; Absent for vote: 16 Greenleaf; Absent: Cairns, Theus] 17 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Let me thank my Commission Members for allowing 18 me to serve as your Chairman this last year. You have certainly been very helpful to me 19 and in the role that I've taken on as Chairman, and I certainly appreciate the opportunity 20 to serve you again and look forward to serving in this role for another year. The Consent 21 Agenda. 22 MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Chairman, if I may I'd -23 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir. 24 MR. TUTTLE: - like to make a motion that we approve the items on the Consent 25 Agenda with the exceptions of items number 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6. 26 MR. ANDERSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Moved and properly seconded. All in favor – does that include the Road Names as well? MR. TUTTLE: Yeah the, obviously it's the Road Names and the Minutes. CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, great. Second? Is that right? MR. ANDERSON: Yes. CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: All in favor signify by raising your hand. [Approved: Palmer, Frierson, Gilchrist, Anderson, Tuttle, Brown; Absent for vote: Greenleaf; Absent: Cairns, Theus] CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright. Case No. 1. And Tracy, are there any amendments to the Agenda, other than what -MS. HEGLER: No, sir.

# **CASE NO. 16-041 MA**:

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay.

MS. HEGLER: Good afternoon, Chairman again, and the rest of the Commission. We have a new format we're gonna be presenting to you today, and you'll the presentation on the Board we're kind of excited to show to you. Our GIS Staff in-house have created these story maps for you so hopefully it'll be a little more interactive when you have questions about scaling in and out and zooming in and out it'll be a little easier to do, so you'll notice a little different format. Information all there kind of in one place. The first Map Amendment before you today is 16-041, the Applicant is Mr. Robert Fuller. Located on Clemson Road, 10.38 acres currently zoned Rural and the request is for Office and Institutional. This is a property parcel and a matter that's been to you before as recently as 2014 when County Council denied a General Commercial

rezoning request. The zoning district summary for Office and Institutional, of course, it's intended to accommodate just that, office, institutional, and certain types of residential uses. These are in areas where the characteristic is neither General Commercial nor exclusively residential. The site is surrounded by some commercial development but mostly residential developments to the southeast and west. It does contain frontage along Clemson Road which is a five lane undivided minor arterial. It's a few, maybe about half a mile from the intersection of Hardscrabble and Clemson Road. The Comprehensive Plan that was adopted in 2015 identifies this area as Neighborhood Medium-Density in its future land use category, as well as it's within a Neighborhood Activity Center. Under the Neighborhood Medium-Density these are areas that include neighborhood medium-density residential districts and supporting neighborhood commercial scale uses. They should really be a transition between low density neighborhoods and those of mixed residential or higher density urban environments. Nonresidential development may be considered, particularly along main road arterials and within a contextually appropriate distance from the intersection of a major or primary arterial. Again, I mentioned this is also within a Neighborhood Activity Center. These are areas that should provide for commercial and institutional uses that are necessary for the day to day functions and demands of the surrounding neighborhood. It should also supply limited local office space. So given all of that the proposed rezoning would be, in Staff's opinion, consistent with the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan. It's in character with the local land uses and desired development pattern. The site is not located at the commercial development at the intersection, but it is a short distance away and, of course, being asked is the Office and Institutional, not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Commercial, so that would seem an appropriate transitional zoning moving east of the intersection. For these reasons Staff recommended approval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Any additional questions for our Staff? Robert Fuller, the Applicant? And when you come to the podium please give us your name and your address for the Record, please.

## **TESTIMONY OF ROBERT FULLER:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Robert Fuller, my office address is 1728 Main Street, Columbia. I am an attorney and am here representing an Applicant, potential developer of this 10.5 acre tract on Clemson Road. The property has been before this Council on one occasion before for a transition out of the Rural designation that was placed on it in 1977, but that was for a General Commercial project that was opposed substantially by neighborhood residents. This proposal that we're bringing to you today is for Office Institutional which as you have already heard is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan of the County. We have had discussions with the County Staff and we've also had publicly attended neighborhood meetings to review the possible plans and the potential plans for this, this site. It was under a consideration as part of the penny tax road development plan that a new entrance be located on a portion of this property which reduced the size of the property actually by a couple of acres to gain a new entrance into the subdivisions off of Clemson Road. This property would continue to front on Clemson Road at Hardscrabble but it is less intensive or intrusive to the residential properties that sit behind it. The probable use, the potential use and the use that has been asked for is to accommodate a residential community for seniors and memory care patients and residents on the property. About eight acres of it

would be accommodated, six or eight acres of it, would be accommodated by that, adjoined by a potential for some additional office/institutional in character with that low intensity use, and a section of the property is being reserved for containment of water and the infrastructure development that would assist with the development of the property and the protection of the adjacent properties. We have had various meetings with the neighboring people, the Councilmembers, and with the Staff to try to identify where difficulties may come from the people in the area and we have really received a pretty warm reception, certainly relative to many of the things I see it's certainly been. been one that was welcomed for accommodation. It is an out-of-state regional developer who has been in conversation with the, with the neighbors and has opened the invitation for additional discussions. These uses, as you well know, are very low intensity traffic producers. The older community residents and particularly the memory care residents do not operate automobiles or do not operate them in the traditional ways that we see them in residential communities and high commercial uses. The traffic is, that is created by the staff is on a 24 hour schedule so there's no impact on morning peaks or afternoon peaks in the traditional sense, it is a, a commodious interchange on the, on the traffic patterns in the vicinity. We present to you the idea that this is consistent with the community plans, with the Comprehensive Plans, and is one that would be a welcomed addition to the community. Mark Chilcott who is a principal of the company is here if you have questions about the actual presentation of the property in any way and could address those things if you have concerns about any of that. But this would be an upscale community asset that would be a credit to the community, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	ultimately would serve people who live in the area. It is, it doesn't draw from a wide
2	range.
3	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Fuller. Any questions for the
4	Applicant? Mr. Mark Chilcott? Anything you'd like to add, sir?
5	MR. CHILCOTT: There's nothing I'd like to add.
6	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Tom DeMint? Come to the podium, sir, if you
7	wanna speak. If not –
8	MR. DEMINT: I represent the developer.
9	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. That's all we have signed up to speak.
10	Questions, comments, motions?
11	MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chair?
12	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir.
13	MR. ANDERSON: I'd like to make a motion that we send Case 16-41 to –
14	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Use your mic, we can't hear you.
15	MR. ANDERSON: I'd like to make a motion – can y'all hear me now?
16	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah.
17	MR. ANDERSON: To send Case 16-41 MA ahead to Council with a
18	recommendation of approval.
19	MR. TUTTLE: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we
21	send Case 16-041 MA to Council with a recommendation of approval. All in favor signify
22	by raising your hand. All opposed?

[Approved: Palmer, Frierson, Gilchrist, Anderson, Tuttle, Brown; Opposed: Greenleaf; Absent: Cairns, Theus]

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. One opposition. We are a recommending Body to County Council and they will meet again in these Chambers on February the 28<sup>th</sup>. So feel free to come back at that time. Thank you. Before we get into the next case I do want read a statement into the Record. Dear Mr. Gilchrist, I must request to be excused from participating or voting in discussions or voting on Agenda Item No. 16-042 MA regarding the rezoning at the corner of Rimer Pond Road, which is scheduled for review and discussion at today's Planning Commission meeting. It is my understanding of the Rules of Conduct, provisions of the ethics, Governmental Accountability Act, and campaign reform laws that I am related to the Applicant I will be unable to participate in this matter through discussion or voting. I would therefore respectfully request that you indicate for the Record that I did not participate in any discussion or vote relating to this item representing a potential conflict of interest. I would further request that you allow and direct this letter to be printed as part of the official Minutes, and excuse me from such votes or deliberations, and note such in the Minutes. Thank you for your consideration in this matter. Sincerely, Patrick Palmer. For the Record. Okay. Next Case.

#### **CASE NOL. 16-042 MA:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. HEGLER: Case 16-42, the Applicant is Hugh Palmer, the location's the corner of Longtown Road East and Rimer Pond Road. It's 5.23 acres or a portion of a 31 acre tract. Current zoning is RS-MD, the request is for RC, our Rural Commercial zoning. This was again, you've seen this before, it was part of a rezoning request back

in 2015 for also Rural Commercial. That was denied by County Council. There have been a number of other rezonings around that site, mostly for residential, including as recently as last year. The Rural Commercial district recognizes the need to provide for areas within Richland County where residents of the more isolated agricultural, and rural residential district might need some services and conveniences such as merchandising. The RC District is proposed to be within or adjacent to residential neighborhoods where large commercial uses are inappropriate but where small neighborhood-oriented scale uses are useful and desired. The district is further designed to be located at or near intersections of major collector roads so as to prevent the spreading of commercial uses down those corridors. The recently adopted Richland County Comprehensive Plan identifies this areas as neighborhood, medium-density, much like the last one. Those are areas where residential neighborhoods of medium density are preferred. Non-residential development may be considered for location along main road corridors and within a contextually appropriate distance from the intersection of a primary arterial. Staff felt that the property is located at such a place, it's at a traffic junction, it's also near and across the street from institutional uses. The intent of the RC district is to orient itself primarily to major traffic arteries or areas of commercial usage. So Staff is of the opinion that the request is in compliance with the objective of the commercial uses as outlined in the, in the Master Plan or the Comprehensive Plan, and is consistent with that. So for those reasons Staff recommended approval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright. Any questions for Staff?

MR. GREENLEAF: Mr. Chairman?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir? 2 MR. GREENLEAF: I'd like to know, what was the Staff's recommendation the last 3 time we saw this piece? 4 MS. HEGLER: It was for approval. 5 MR. GREENLEAF: Approval. 6 MS. HEGLER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Any other questions? For Staff? 7 8 MR. GREENLEAF: Oh, one other question. 9 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Mr. Greenleaf. 10 MR. GREENLEAF: I notice in the documents provided to us – hold on one 11 moment. The reference to – I'm sorry, I'm on the wrong one. Forgive me. The reference 12 to it on page, this is number 9, page 9 of the documents we were provided. Underneath 13 Zoning History for the General Area, first paragraph references a case, 16-044 MA? 14 MS. HEGLER: Um-hum. 15 MR. GREENLEAF: And that's the one we're voting on today. MS. HEGLER: No, sir. 16 17 MR. GREENLEAF: Are we certain about that? As I look back into my documents 18 on page -19 MS. HEGLER: Ahh, we might have the wrong numbers. MR. GREENLEAF: - on page 21. There's reference to 16-044 MA. 20 21 [Inaudible discussion] 22 MR. GREENLEAF: They're the same numbers.

1 MS. HEGLER: Yeah, you're right. I have on the file that Rimer Pond Road is 16-2 42, this is the one Mr. – 3 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Correct. MS. HEGLER: Came in on November 30<sup>th</sup>. 4 MR. GREENLEAF: Details matter. 5 6 MS. HEGLER: They do, so we, so it's in your -7 MR. GREENLEAF: The document on page 21 says 16-044 MA and the 8 reference to a previously approved parcel is the same number. Where's the rub? 9 What's, what's, what gives? 10 MS. HEGLER: It should be 15-44 cause it was done in '15. 11 MR. GREENLEAF: '15, which one? Which one is 15-44? 12 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: On page 9, isn't that right? 13 MS. HEGLER: The one that's already been done on page 9, the residential one. 14 MR. GREENLEAF: Ahh, thank you. Thank you very much. 15 MS. HEGLER: So 16-44 is the one you'll be seeing next. 16 MR. GREENLEAF: Okay, gotcha. Can we correct on the Record going forward? 17 MS. HEGLER: Certainly. 18 MR. GREENLEAF: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: So noted. Thank you, Mr. Greenleaf. 20 MR. GREENLEAF: Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And for the Record, all of these should be '17 now? 22 MR. TUTTLE: No, I think it's, I think they're saying is when it was submitted. 23 MS. HEGLER: They were submitted in November.

**TESTIMONY OF BOYD BROWN:** 

Applicant present? Okay, please.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name's Boyd Brown. I'm with Tompkins, Thompson & Brown, Government Affairs, our office is at 1331 Elmwood Avenue in Columbia. I live at 4323 Kilbourne Road in Columbia. We are here to speak on behalf of Mr. Hugh Palmer today who you have heard from in the past. And that is in regards to his rezoning request on Rimer Pond and Longtown Roads. Mr. Palmer has requested that this become Rural Commercial, and as you've heard the Staff read that we feel as though this is tailor-made for a Rural Commercial use. Honestly, if you were to take out the definition for Rural Commercial in the Richland County Land Use Plan you would feel like this was, it was written primarily for this specific parcel. Personally, I was a former member of the Richland County Legislative Delegation as well as a Member of the Central Midlands Planning Council, so have a little familiarity with, with this land use plan. But going forward from that, if you are looking at this, the tract of land that Mr. Palmer has asked to have rezoned and if you look to the east of it there's a 28 acre tract that now has 100 home sites on it. The adjacent piece of property to that also

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Alright. Any other questions? We have a number

of persons signed up to speak today and, and I wanna ask you when you come to the

mindful that because we have a number of folk here to speak that when you hear the

little buzzer go off that means that your time is up so that we can give other people an

opportunity to come and, and share their thoughts as well. So thank you for being here

today and thank you for your patience. We do have the Applicant present, is the

mic that you share with us your name and your address for the Record. Please be

1	has 100, I think 100 home sites on it as well. There's been little to no opposition from
2	the community on those 200 new homes and, and the traffic that is created with 200
3	new homes as far as those rezonings have gone. Rural Commercial is designed
4	specifically for zoning requests such as this, intended to be flexible districts to help
5	communities just like this. I'll be happy to answer any questions from the Council, I'm
6	sure there are some.
7	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Any questions for the Applicant?
8	Questions? Thank you, Mr. Brown. You do, Mr. Greenleaf?
9	MR. GREENLEAF: I just wanna verify, just verify the land surrounding the
10	property excluding the 100 or 200 homes, all this zoned is Rural, correct?
11	MR. BROWN: I would assume so. I think they all kinda fall in line with the zoning
12	that was passed in 1977, Staff may have a better answer than that -
13	MR. GREENLEAF: Yeah, seems like –
14	MR. BROWN: - than I do.
15	MR. GREENLEAF: - seems like Longtown Road is the dividing switchover from
16	residential to rural. Thank you.
17	MR. BROWN: It seems, you know, just to answer that or to address that, it
18	seems like things south of Langford Road as far as the land use plan goes is really
19	kinda open to developments such as this. When you get, when you get to Langford
20	Road North it really gets into the Rural zoning.
21	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Brown.
22	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, we have Trey Hare? Is that right?

# **TESTIMONY OF TREY HARE:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. HARE: My name's Trey Hare, I'm at 252 Rimer Pond Road. You guys are all, and ladies, are all familiar with this property and the fact that this is the third time that we've come up here. More than three times we've showed up and it's been withdrawn and rescheduled for the week of Thanksgiving, conveniently. Just a quick reminder that there are no commercial properties on Rimer Pond Road. The only beneficiary to this zoning being changed is the Applicant who stands to make a large sum of money. There's hundreds of people that would like to be here today that can't be here at 1:00 on a Monday afternoon. It's the Applicant's job to show up and get his zoning changed because he stands to make a lotta money. I'm asking you, they're asking you to stand with the people that you're serving, stand with the community. We're highly opposed to it, we continue to show up in large numbers. It's not conducive. I'd say it was tailor-made to be exactly what it is, it's Rural, it's uniquely Rural. It's very close to Killian Road and all the surrounding commercial. We're less than three miles from anything that we want. We're not underserved. Being Rural is our choice, it's a rural community, it's residential, medium-density property that's in your plan, long-term plan to remain that way. The graphic that I put in front of you, if you received it, is a picture of property just like that's changed. We don't want it to look like that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Hare.

MS. FRIERSON: I'm sorry, what did he say his last name is?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Hare, Trey Hare. Kathleen Richards.

## **TESTIMONY OF KATHLEEN RICHARDS:**

MS. RICHARDS: I'm Kathleen Richards, my address is 209 Tallon Way in the Eagle's Glen subdivision, and I'm just here to respectfully request that you support our community in our, our begging you to retain the uniquely rural, uniquely residential character of the Rimer Pond Road area and leave it rural and residential. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Ms. Richards. Elaine Estes?

# **TESTIMONY OF ELAINE ESTES:**

MS. ESTES: My name is Elaine Estes, I live at 319 Longtown Road West, excuse me, which is less than a mile from where this property is. I have three grandchildren that I take to school within a mile of my house that I have to pass this. Here again, we don't want it, we don't need it. I make a lotta trips hauling my grandchildren around that area and I'm saying five miles maximum. And I could stop at a service station or convenience store once a week for probably three months and not have to go to one twice. The same thing if, if we wanted anything to eat, three Subways. If I needed tires, if this was car, you know, a car place, if I needed tires, if I needed oil change, within this five mile radius I have numerous options of where to go. This is not something any of us that live out there, that have chosen to live out there want or need. We have plenty. We would like to keep it residential. We'd like to keep it rural. And we'd like to keep our kids safe, because when you have these type of convenience stores and things like this, unfortunately you end up with an increase in crime. We don't need that. We don't want that in our, in our area. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Ms. Estes. Jay Thompson.

#### **TESTIMONY OF JAY THOMPSON:**

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, my name is Jay Thompson, I reside at 224 Renfield Lane which is roughly a mile away from the subject property in question. I would like to speak to four points that are raised in the Staff Report. One is that the RC zoning is designed for areas that are beyond the limits of service of municipalities and can receive convenience of merchandising and services; that does not describe this area. Contrary to Mr. Brown's statement earlier this area is not tailor-made for this zoning. This area is tailor-made to be as it is as you've heard today. Second, this zoning is designed for where small neighborhood-oriented businesses are useful and desired. The proposed zoning change is not useful nor desired. It is aggressively opposed in the surrounding community. Mr. Greenleaf, you asked earlier if the surrounding parcels are also zoned Rural, they are not. Many of them, particularly to the south are residential, medium-density and residential, lowdensity. It does not meet the description of an RC zoning. There is also a statement in the Staff Report that says Staff is of the opinion that the request is in compliance with the objective for commercial uses as outlined in the suburban future land use designation. That is not correct. I am holding in my hands a map that says future land use in priority investment, this is from this Commission, it is available publicly on the Internet. And if you look at this map this area is right in the middle of neighborhood, medium-density, not rural. And right above that is neighborhood, low-density. That directly contradicts this proposed zoning for RC. It does not fit. Last point, the Staff Report states that approval of the rezoning request – my time is up and I will stop. But there is no RC anywhere in this area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.

1 MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman? 2 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Mr. -3 MR. BROWN: Can we allow him to complete his point? 4 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure. 5 MR. BROWN: He had four points. 6 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Absolutely. 7 MR. THOMPSON: The last point I was gonna make, thank you sir, was that the 8 Staff Report states that approval of the rezoning request would not be out of character 9 with the existing surrounding development pattern and zoning districts for the area. I 10 would challenge anyone to look in the area and find another RC zoned property. Maybe 11 you find one that's the size of a closet, I think you will find none. And you can extend 12 that for many miles in every direction, there is not one. Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Yes, sir, Mr. Greenleaf. 14 MR. GREENLEAF: Mr. Chairman, can we find out the answer to his question? Is 15 there any other RC in the area? 16 MS. HEGLER: I don't believe there is any. No, sir. 17 MR. GREENLEAF: Okay, thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Steven Freedenburg? 19 **TESTIMONY OF STEVEN FREEDENBURG:** 20 MR. FREEDENBURG: Steven Freedenburg, 8 Ashville Lane. That's a big act to 21 follow. I just, there were two, there was one word I heard when they were reading how it 22 could possibly be approved and I think the word was isolated areas? I do not feel 23 isolated, I don't know, maybe 10 years ago I felt a little isolated but not anymore. So

isolation doesn't exist. Also the gentleman who spoke in favor he said, we feel. Where's the whole we? We are here saying we don't feel. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Nicole Laferno?

## **TESTIMONY OF NICOLE LAFERNO:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. LAFERNO: My name is Nicole Laferno, I live at 136 Muirfield Court West in Blythewood and I've been a resident in Blythewood my whole life. And I've lived in Long Creek specifically for nine years, and in the past nine years around me I've noticed quite a bit of development, the Killian Road area. I do not feel underserved. Currently I have two small children, one of which goes to Roundtop Elementary. These roads, Rimer Pond Road, is not a County-maintained road, it's a State-maintained road. That road is inadequately serving our school at this moment and we do not have even sidewalks for our children for a safe path to school from our community. What would happen is if this were allowed to be rezoned it, if a gas station goes to that corner you're gonna have middle school students running across that road. Right now they walk on a road that has no shoulder, no safe sidewalks. Right, probably in the next 20 minutes they're gonna start their path from that middle school to the elementary school and all this is gonna do is create a safety hazard for our children, and for my children in particular since I'm a vested member of this community. I really feel like this adds no value to my community, if anything it takes away from the desirable neighborhood that I live in. And another thing is we are the last community before you enter Fairfield County. Where are we gonna stop with the, with – where're we gonna draw this line? We're gonna be urban all the way up to Fairfield County? Can you, like leave us, please, something? So, thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Benny Sulton?

# **TESTIMONY OF BENNY SULTON:**

MR. SULTON: Good afternoon. My name is Benny Sulton, I live at 201
Runnymeade Drive in Longcreek. I've been a resident and a property owner in
Longcreek for 35 years and I just wanna say that all my neighbors who have come up
here before me, I think they put it in words that, that should express to you that we don't
want this. You know, when I bought that property out there in 1982 it was a place for my
children to grow up and my grandchildren, and great grandchildren, and I'm about there
now. And no, we don't want it, we don't need it. It's, it's really, to have a single entity
"person" that's wanting us to, or wanting us to do this, I mean, it's just unbelievable. And
as the lady before me, Nicole just said, I think a legal definition is an attractive nuisance.
If this goes through those kids going across there, it's an attractive nuisance because
they would be going across the street and, you know, posing a danger to them. So I beg
this Commission, don't do this. This is, this is not something we need. You know, when
I, when my wife and I purchased that property we were looking to live in a "rural" area.
Thank you.

- 17 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.
- 18 MR. GREENLEAF: Mr. Chairman?
- 19 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Mr. Greenleaf.
  - MR. GREENLEAF: I have one for Mr. Sulton. Did you oppose the previous development when the 100 and 200 homes went in there?
    - MR. SULTON: No. I am not against residential. Commercial.
- 23 MR. GREENLEAF: I thought I heard you say about just traffic considerations.

1 MR. SULTON: No, absolutely. 2 MR. GREENLEAF: You're just concerned about the type of business or – MR. SULTON: Absolutely. 3 4 MR. GREENLEAF: - what goes in the space. 5 MR. SULTON: Yeah, commercial [sic], we welcome commercial [sic, we don't 6 have any - I don't -7 AUDIENCE: You mean residential. MR. SULTON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, residential. I'm having a senior moment. 8 9 [Laughter] 10 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Sulton, how long have you been in Longcreek? 11 MR. SULTON: Okay, we bought the property March 1982. We built the house in 12 1986. And I waited cause developers say one thing, so we bought the property and we 13 waited to see what they were gonna do. Then we built the house. 14 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Thank you, sir. 15 MR. GREENLEAF: Okay. Thank you very much. 16 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Kathleen Lacy. 17 TESTIMONY OF KATHLEEN LACY: 18 MS. LACY: Good afternoon, my name is Kathleen Lacy, I live at 5 Bang Hound 19 Way in Longcreek in Blythewood. The land at the intersection of Rimer Pond Road and 20 Longtown West should not be rezoned as requested by Hugh Palmer. Rezoning this 21 land to Rural Commercial would serve no benefit to the residents of the area. Morning 22 and afternoon traffic congestion at this intersection is already a significant problem for

local residents. Adding commercial activity at the dense center of this congestion would

23

only worsen the problem dramatically. Residents have repeatedly spoken out at Council hearings against this rezoning proposal. It is very clear that this rezoning request is not motivated by the best interest of local residents. The owner of the property in question stands to profit from the proposed rezoning, thus repeated attempts to rezone the parcel while residents would be left with the unneeded commercial enterprise and worse traffic congestion. Rezoning the parcel in question would allow few to profit financially while negatively impacting the daily lives of hundreds or thousands of local residents. Please evaluate this proposal with focus on representing the best interest of our community, my community, and not the financial gain of a few individuals. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. May Vocati?

# **TESTIMONY OF MAY VOCATI:**

MS. VOCATI: My name is May Vocati, I live at 206 Plantation Parkway in Blythewood. I vehemently oppose this Rural Commercial gas station that's gonna go up on the corner of my daughter's school. I'd like to speak to the first sentence in the zoning district summary, the Rural Commercial District recognizes a need to provide the areas within Richland County where residents of more isolated agricultural and rural residential districts and residents located beyond the limits of services of municipalities can receive convenience merchandising and service. Within a four mile radius of my home in Longcreek I have four pizza restaurants, I have three dry cleaners, I have a dollar store, I have three large grocery stores, and I have at least five gas stations.

Clearly, the resources in my neighborhood have nothing to do with being – they're available to me. I don't need another one in my neighborhood, it would only impact my lifestyle in a negative way. And I'd also like to mention that while a gas station on the

1 | corner would certainly provide a few jobs for maybe our residents, most people who

would work at that gas station don't live in our area and should they, they have a gas

3 station 1.25 miles away from Longcreek on the corner, there're two gas stations.

4 There's a gas station on the corner of Lee Road. So there's plenty of employment

opportunities within four miles, there's really no need to add more. Thank you for your

time.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Mary Lee?

## **TESTIMONY OF MARY LEE:**

MS. LEE: Mr. Chairman, Commission Members, my name is Mary Lee, I live at 444 Rimer Pond Road, right in the middle of all this controversy. And there's really no need for me to repeat a lot of what's been said, however, several people have already mentioned that first line of that zoning district summary that's in there. And we're not underserved. You were asking the gentleman before, he'd be there 30 some years. I've been there 40 something, and I'm all for rural, that's why I'm out there, so if you take all this into consideration, and like I said it's like Deja vu, we've been here before, everybody here knows how we feel, and it's certainly not gonna change. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, ma'am, thank you. Brad Tollson.

#### **TESTIMONY OF BRAD TOLLSON:**

MR. TOLLSON: Brad Tollson, 230 Renfield Lane in Blythewood. Be a lot of reiteration, what you're gonna hear today. I think the bottom line is, is we all feel very strongly that this is just not needed. Longcreek, very peaceful community, used to be a very quiet community until the other development around the Clemson Road, which we understand. But we are a community, we are the Town of Blythewood. It would be a

complete eyesore. To reiterate some of the other voices talking about the schools that are nearby, again it's a nuisance. You start thinking about the traffic situation, trying to get in and out of a convenience store or what have you would be, to use the word cluster I think is a great way to describe what it would be. It's just not needed. And I'd ask yourself sitting there in those chairs, if you live in a neighborhood would you want this in your neighborhood? Would you want this near your children's schools? I think the answer would be no. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Nanette Howren?

## **TESTIMONY OF NANETTE HOWREN:**

MS. HOWREN: I'm Nanette Howren, 102 Old Course Loop in Blythewood. I grew up in Columbia and I left for 30 years and went to Atlanta. I had an opportunity to come back and I was pretty excited because I always thought that Columbia was a, kind of a big country town. It had everything that I needed, but it also gave me my uniquely rural area. So when my husband and I started looking – when I left 77 wasn't even available and we would always joke, you know, you packed a lunch to go from the Lower Richland side all the way to Elgin. Well now you can get to and fro within 15 minutes. I don't need any more commercial out there. Someone has already said we have five, four or five pizza places. We've got gas stations. I'm not a grandmother, I don't have children that go to school here but I do do a lot with Special Olympics so I am a lotta the time in the traffic trying to get to events and that type of thing. And I can't imagine being these young parents that are trying to get their children to school and now having this garish thing that's gonna have all these lights on it at nighttime where we have a nice dark area. We can go out and nighttime and we can see stars. Please don't take that

away from us. We moved there for a reason and you slowly are taking those reasons away from us. And it's saddens me. And just like this gentleman before me, if this was going on in your neighborhood how would you really vote? I want you to think about that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, ma'am. David Strom?

## **TESTIMONY OF DAVID STROM:**

MR. STROM: Hey, good afternoon. Thanks for the opportunity to speak in front of you. My name's David Strom, I live at 47 Thistlewood Court in Blythewood. I also live in the Longcreek subdivision and it's uniquely rural. It, it's where we wanna be. I grew up in a, in a rural, or a, an urban area and I went to school in an urban area. When I moved to South Carolina I wanted to live in somewhere rural that I didn't have to deal with sitting in traffic for 45 minutes or dealing with seven different stores and seven different gas stations. As, as Mary, May said earlier we've got four gas stations within a mile of my house, we've got four convenience stores, maybe five, we have a Dollar General. We don't need this in our neighborhood. My, my wife's a stay at home mom and anything that my family needs she can easily go within five minutes of our house and get whatever we need. We've got three mega grocery stores, we just don't need this. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Ken Queen?

#### **TESTMONY OF KEN QUEEN:**

MR. QUEEN: How you doin'? My name's Ken Queen, I reside at 575 Rimer Pond Road, have since 1999. I've been here before, obviously on both sides of this agenda. This particular issue, Patrick and I have spoken personally about and he understands

that we don't feel that — it's no offense, it doesn't serve what we need, it doesn't serve — we don't need it is the big gist of it. But my position is, the Palmers are the ones that came to Rimer Pond Road 10 years ago or so and changed this property to RS-MD. At that time nobody wanted it RS-MD, that's what the Palmers wanted, okay? They didn't come and discuss it with anybody, they didn't meet with anybody like Mr. Fuller on the previous zoning issue that just came before you. And this time they haven't met with anybody to discuss, to talk about what type of uses could be mutually agreeable. Kevin Steelman, however, on the next neighborhood down from this parcel which just got rezoned to RS low density did come and meet with the residents and talk to us. Okay? There was no opposition to the residential use, we understand that there's gonna be residential use. There's just no need for the commercial use. We don't have any, there's nothing they could put there that we don't already have within, you know, five, six minutes max. The situation is when they came and rezoned this RS-MD the residents opposed it and they were adamant about not wanting it at the time. That's my time.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Queen.

MR. GREENLEAF: Mr. Chairman, can I complete hearing Mr. Queen's testimony?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: We can do that, Mr. Greenleaf, but let me say something to the Commission. We have a number of people signed up to speak today and so I wanna make sure I give everybody ample time to be able to speak.

MR. GREENLEAF: That's good.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: If you have some questions for Mr. Queen that's be great.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

MR. GREENLEAF: No questions, I just wanted if he was complete with – CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright. And if we have a question for you we can certainly call you back.

MR. GREENLEAF: Y'all just keep it to two minutes. Thank you. It's kinda hard, I know. I like to talk, too.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Joe Jefferson? Is it Johnson? Johnson. Joe Johnson, okay.

## **TESTIMONY OF JOE JOHNSON:**

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you ladies and gentlemen. I'm really gonna echo Mr. Thompson and Mr. Queen's comments. My name is Joe Johnson, I live at 121 West Lakeridge Drive, lifelong residents of Richland County, 25 year resident of Blythewood, 13 in Longcreek Plantation. I'm glad you guys are familiar with the Comprehensive planning documents that you have published on your websites. The intent of the plan is to facilitate growth in a manner that is respectful of natural and cultural resources. A strip mall with a Pizza Hut is not respectful of natural and cultural resources. There's lots of time and taxpayer money that was used in developing that plan that is on your website. If you're not gonna follow the plan then you wasted a lotta taxpayer monies. You and, the Planning Commission and the County Council has got to be accountable for following the plan that you have adopted. Apparently the work group that keeps approving this venture is not that familiar with that. We keep talking about this limits of commercial services, any place on Rimer Pond Road can pick up the phone and have six different pizza parlors deliver pizza to their house. If, if you have pizza delivery you are not beyond the limits of [laughter] of commercial services. Only one person tends to gain from this. We're tired of coming in here and fighting about this every year. I, I guess I have a proposal for Mr. Palmer, you know, I think he made some mistakes with this property which has got him in the position he is. I would commit if he would donate the property to Richland County I would commit to donate some money, and I'm sure our community would, to make it some sorta park that's good for the kids and good for all the neighborhoods that are around there. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Jane Jorgansen?

## **TESTIMONY OF JANE JORGANSEN:**

MS. JORGANSEN: Good afternoon. My name is Jane Jorgansen. I live at 5 Lakemoor Court in Blythewood, Longcreek Plantation. I just think you've heard a lotta voices this afternoon about what we really want for our environment. We, we wanna keep it uniquely rural, we do not need commercial in that space, it's an issue for our kids, safety, traffic, and really just aesthetics. I love the idea of donating the land and turning it into a park. I wanna second that and fourth it and fifth it and 100. It, it's really not meant to be anything more, I mean, if you see, I don't know that this really shows you how that tract looks. Of course, today you've got homes in that area now. It's been built out with some homes behind there. But it's, it's a tough intersection, I, I go past there several times a day. It can get quite congested. We don't need any more draw to that corner. I'd just love a safe environment for the kids, I'd like it to stay green and beautiful and undeveloped. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Ms. Jorgensen. Michael Watts.

#### **TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL WATTS:**

1 2 w 3 fa 4 C 5 b 6 s 7 0 8 n

MR. WATTS: Michael Watts, 359 Adams Road, 421 Rimer Pond Road. Since we're talking about how long people have been there I live on land that's been in my family for five generations. I can trace it back to Fairfield County, when that was Fairfield County back in the 1800s. You've heard articulate reasons for the last three times we've been here of why we do not want this on this property. It seems to me that it's pretty simple. You got one property owner and you gotta a community full of people who are at odds. The community doesn't want it. The property owner I guess has made up his mind he's gonna keep coming back till he gets it, so it's in your hands now. Your hands to decide whether or not you're gonna stick up for the people or whether you're gonna stick up for a developer. Which doesn't look good for y'all. So we hope you're on our side. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Kenneth Moore.

# **TESTIMONY OF KENNETH MOORE:**

MR. MOORE: Thank you Mr. Commissioner and Chair people, I appreciate it. Kenneth Moore, 29 Black Hawk Court, Blythewood, South Carolina. My wife and I have lived a lotta places, a lot of buildup stuff in Duluth, Georgia, and if any of you have ever been to Atlanta you know what I'm talking about. We moved here specifically to be in a rural area away from all of the commercialism of a gas station on the corner. As people have already said very eloquently today and I think very factually, there's a lot of stuff around us where we can get anything we want if necessary. So I see no need for this. I believe like everybody else has told you, there is no need for the people in our community to have this new addition. Thank you.

1

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

19

18

2021

22

23

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Lorraine Moore? Okay, alright that's fine. If you hear someone say the same thing that you would like to say and you would like to say, hey I'll echo that, that's fine. And that moves us along so not a problem at all. Is this Elaine? Claire? Claire somebody? Feather Run Court? Okay, come right on up.

MR. TUTTLE: She said she seconded what everybody -

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Oh. Oh, alright very good. Fantastic. Stacy Young.

# **TESTIMONY OF STACY YOUNG:**

MS. YOUNG: Okay. Hi, my name is Stacy Young, I stay at 422 Knollside Drive. I recently moved into this community two years ago so this is my first time. I hope this is my last time simply because I have a 5<sup>th</sup> grader at Roundtop and I have had children attend Blythewood Middle. It's already, as everyone has said, very, you know, congested in that area. And I'm really concerned about the safety of my children. Attending Blythewood Middle, he will be going there next year, there are, someone mentioned before there aren't any sidewalks. There's very little places for them to walk as it is. I think putting a gas station on that corner is only gonna attract things that are negative to the community. I took off work today because that was just that important to me to be here to say that it's just something that we don't want. The developer talks about we want it, we're saying we don't want it. And I just want that to be very clear that this is something that we do not want and don't feel like our community needs it. I have five boys, they eat me out of the house and home, but we can find a pizza place that will deliver any time of the night. So we are not going without food, we are not going without a pizza delivery, we aren't going without a gas station because we have one right at the

end of our neighborhood. So this is something that we just don't want, and I hope you hear that from all of us.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Rhett Sanders.

## **TESTIMONY OF RHETT SANDERS:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SANDERS: Rhett Sanders, 111 Craigwood Drive, Blythewood, South Carolina. As members of the Planning Commission you have accepted the civic responsibility of helping residents and property owners to articulate and achieve a vision for how they want their community to look and function in the future, so I thank you so much for listening to us. When I lived in Blythewood growing up in the '70s I felt isolated. As I moved back to Blythewood in 2010 the rural commercial zoning does not connect to us, we are not isolated. Just a couple miles north of this intersection is an IGA and restaurants and gas stations. Just south gas stations, convenience stores and a Dollar General. A lot of new homes are coming to the area and I believe a lotta people are fleeing Clemson Road and Hardscrabble Road, Two Notch Road in order to get away from the crazy and busy, as well as still have the conveniences around them. We do not want Rimer Pond Road to be anything other than residential, rural, for schools, houses, homes, and churches. The Planning Commission is tasked with the responsibility to oversee the strategic growth of our County, to keep the big picture in mind, and to not make decisions based on personal requests that are not in the interest of the community. So I, or we disagree with the summary conclusion that approval of this rezoning request would not be out of character with the existing surrounding development pattern and zoning districts. We believe it would be out of character with what's around us and what we want. So we are here to ask that you protect our wishes

as residents of our community that will be directly impacted by this zoning change. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Elizabeth Mull?

# **TESTIMONY OF ELIZABETH MULL:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MULL: Good afternoon and thank you for letting me speak. My name is Elizabeth Mull and I live at 234 Westlake Farms Drive in Blythewood. I will keep my remarks brief and easily so because nothing has changed since the last time I stood before you pleading for the Planning Commission to vote against the zone change. We are still not an underserved nor isolated area of Richland County. We do not need nor want any of the commercial provisions planned for this intersection. As a resident who zooms around town on fumes much to my husband's dismay I have yet to find myself stranded and out of gas because of the prevalence and convenience of the multitude of gas stations that are already there. Dry cleaners, dollar stores, professional offices are all already there in abundance. In November of 2015 – a Blythewood High School student was tragically struck by a car as he walked in front of the high school. He died several days later. If this proposed zone changed is approved and anything resembling a convenience store is built there, how long do you think it will take for those middle schoolers to dart across the street to grab a Coke and a candy bar for their trek up Rimer Pond Road to Roundtop Elementary School to meet their younger siblings and catch their ride home? It is too disturbing and frightening to really even think about. We moved to this area in, of Columbia in 2011 specifically to enjoy the rural aspects it has to offer. My two beloved thoroughbred horses lived a long and happy retirement at a farm less than three miles from this intersection. However, on the rare occasion that I

chose to actually go shopping instead of trail riding, I travel the same distance in the opposite direction and I could find every commercial business easily accessible. Our neighborhood in this area of northeast Columbia is the epitome of uniquely rural, however, that profound description is not followed by, until someone wants to make it commercial. Please listen to us and vote against this proposed zone change. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Lisa Ramsey?

## **TESTIMONY OF LISA RAMSEY:**

MS. RAMSEY: Hi, I'm Lisa Ramsey of 200 Longtown Road West and I sit directly next to the middle school on the corner there of Longtown Road and Longtown West. When we thought of moving back to the Blythewood area or Columbia area we were coming from Maryland, right outside of Philadelphia and yet outside of Baltimore, Maryland. When we came to that intersection to buy I thought, this is gonna be too much traffic, I can't handle it, until my daughter reminded us, mom it's not New York City. Since we've been there we have been awoken numerous nights of the accidents that occur at our corner and at the corner of Rimer Pond and Longtown Road. People do not obey the stop signs, the stop lights. They're in a hurry as they continue to throw their Bud Light cans down our road. I honestly do not believe that's anyone from our neighborhood that is doing this. We've got too much going on in our neighborhood as it is. It's becoming unsafe with what's occurring at the local gas stations off of Lee Road and Hardscrabble. The other things that are happening in Blythewood with the IGA, was a robbery there. We don't want this. We don't need this. We pray, absolutely pray that

you stand behind us and your community. Thank you. My husband was next to speak and he was not able to make it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. So that was Paul Ramsey. Angie Finch?

TESTIMONY OF ANGIE FINCH:

MS. FINCH: Good afternoon. Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Angie Finch and I live at 309 Cartgate Circle in Windermere in Longcreek Plantation. I grew up in Blythewood in the '70s and yeah, we were an underserved community in the '70s, we were in the middle of nowhere. And after college I moved away, I got married and moved to New Jersey. I lived in New Jersey for 20 years and then when I came back here to, to relocate my family five and a half years ago, I, I couldn't believe the changes that I had seen in the, in the Longcreek area. Killian Road was not the Killian Road that it is today. We are not an underserved community. We are a community that is praying to keep our safety from all of these difference incidents that go on around us from gas stations. We're trying to keep our children safe. We're trying to keep them safe on the roads, we're trying to keep them safe in our neighborhoods, and we don't, we're not underserved. We don't need another gas station, we don't need any more commercial properties. Please hear all of us, it's 1:00 on Monday and we're out here in force to let you know that this is important to us. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Jamie Eddy?

#### **TESTIMONY OF JAMIE EDDY:**

MS. EDDY: Hello, I'm Jamie Eddy, I live right down the road from Angie at 325 Cartgate Circle in Windermere. I would say that I'm a little bit unique compared to the people sitting here. I've lived here only for a year and a half. We moved here from New

York and my husband works in Charlotte right now. He wanted to be here very much but he couldn't. We love this area, so much that my husband drives to Charlotte every day and all the way back because of this area specifically. I can't express to you how much – I have 10 year old kids that are gonna be going to Blythewood and it scares me the thought, like Nicole was saying about the kids traveling across the road, that's terrifying. I love this area so much. We wanna stay here, we wanna grow here, and we, we know things are gonna change but just please keep it residential and not commercial and we would really appreciate it. And thank you for your time by the way.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Vanessa Soyto?

# **TESTIMONY OF VANESSA SOYTO:**

MS. SOYTO: Hello, thank you for letting me speak today. My name is Vanessa Soyto and I live at 112 Muirfield Court West in Blythewood. I am also kind of unique to this situation, I've only lived here six months from Massachusetts. But I just wanted to say that when we first moved here everyone talked about Chapin and Lexington and all these other towns and we went to them and they weren't like where we, where I live now and where all of us live. It's a great community. I plan on living there for as long as I can with my three children. Please don't change it. And it really is a special place. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Jerry Riga?

#### **TESTIMONY OF JERRY RIGA:**

MR. RIGA: Good afternoon, thank you. I'm Jerry Riga, 112 Bardwell Way in Blythewood. And I'm gonna apologize if I offend anyone with my comments, but this is the third time this issue has come up and I am very disappointed that the Staff of this

Commission continues to approve or recommend this issue. They're looking at maps and books and things, but if you just drive through this area, like you heard, they mentioned isolated area. You've heard this is not an isolated area. It's highly residential. They mention institutional use. The institutions they're talking about are a middle school and an elementary school with high congestion. Go look at that area at 8:00 in the morning or 7:30 in the morning. I have to laugh, the agent that represented Mr. Palmer talked about the 100 or so homes, a year ago when this came up the owner of the property said this area was not, could not be developed for homes. Well, now we have 100 and something homes going up right there within 100' of what this property is. And he says no one in that area has opposed this. Well, there's nobody in those homes. It's hard to oppose something when there's nobody there. We were here a year ago, this room was full. We actually presented a position to the Council with over 200 signatures and a room full of people. Don't tell us that this is, you know, not supported by the area. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Michael Burney?

# **TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL BURNEY:**

MR. BURNEY: I'm Michael Burney at 10 Sly Fox Run and I may hold the record for the least time in the neighborhood. We just moved in about a month ago. And we fall into that area that was talked about earlier of wanting to get away from the congestion on Two Notch Road where we lived and raised our kids. And wanted to find a pocket, a pocket that was comfortable and was rural that we could live and retire in. And much to my chagrin I get on our Facebook page and find out about this. So I just stand in

opposition of it, it certainly wasn't in the cards for what we expected when we purchased property out in that area, and I hope you'll vote against it.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Debbie, is that – 50 Harvest? Okay.

# **TESTIMONY OF DEBBIE BARTH:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. BARTH: My name is Debbie Barth, I live at 50 Harvest Moon Court in Blythewood. We have been there about 20 years. We moved, made the big move from Plantation Park over to Crescent Lake because we love it, wanna be there. A couple things, I felt bad that Mr. Queen couldn't finish his comments. One thing that he pointed out to me was that he actually knows of several people that are waiting to hear from this if they go to the rural commercial zoning to file for commercial zoning for things right near there. So this is just another step, we've seen it with development of neighborhoods, which now is great and everybody's a part of the community, but it, it really is always just a stepping stone. And the two words that strike me that I hear when I came last time and I heard again was isolated and desired. I think it's fairly evident, we're neither isolated nor is this desired, and I think that that should be taken into account. And, you know, Mr. Palmer made an investment, it's not panning out the way he hoped, we've all had that happen to us. Maybe he needs to come up with another avenue for pursuit of developing it into something that people actually want. And consult with us about that. That's all I got.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Sean Hawk?

#### **TESTIMONY OF SEAN HAWK:**

MR. HAWK: Sean Hawks, 525 Rimer Pond Road. I actually get to watch Mr. Palmer's last push down our throat change to zoning when he got his medium

residential. It was pushed down our throat then, it was in opposition to a point then, this has come up multiple times and is still being put down our throat by whatever means there is internally to his connections or whoever he works for or with, that this is now being delivered again, another year down the road we're still talking about the same thing. I don't need to go over how many gas stations, I'll leave that right out, I think we've got that covered. But one thing that does really worry me and it was just mentioned earlier is the fact that this will open the floodgates to putting more and more of this rural commercial in there using this case as an example. Alright, literally across the street from there is one of those sites that they're talking about putting a gas station. Alright? And then down at the end of Hardscrabble and Rimer Pond Road where traffic is an absolute nightmare any time between school hours, is another site that is waiting on this to go through. Alright? Those kind of changes in Rimer Pond Road, as they said, State-maintained, no sidewalks, no shoulders, this is not a place to increase traffic. This is not a place that requires additional support from any kind of businesses. We have everything we could desire. I've lived there for four years, we had delivery pizza and I live a quarter mile off the road, I literally have to call them every time and tell them how to get down to my house, okay? And I get to pull out of my driveway and look at medium residential and pray that they don't put some Dollar General or some other store at the end of that road. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. David Poole?

#### **TESTIMONY OF DAVID POOLE:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. POOLE: Hello. My name's David Pool, I live at 425 Old Course Loop in Blythewood. I'm about a half a mile from this intersection that we're discussing today.

And I want to just again oppose this change like the rest of the neighbors that have spoken. I'm just, I'm just a little bumfuzzled by – this is my fourth meeting, everybody else has said three but I actually went to a meeting that was at the elementary school that I believe was hosted by the previous chairman, not Mr. Palmer but before that. And there was about 200 people in that, in that location at Rimer, at Roundtop Elementary School. And so we had a whole room full of people that didn't want this to go through even three years ago. But my, my confusion here is we have these discussions, we voice our disapproval, and last year still the folks who were basically developers on the Commission all voted in favor of sending this forward, it was a tie vote. Mr. Palmer had to recuse himself or it would've gone through. And then it went to the, the Council and it was a tie vote again. And all the developers voted with each other again. And so I'm just concerned about the appearance of evil. I'm not saying evil, I'm not saying cronyism, but the appearance of it is a little hard to swallow sometimes. And so, you know, if we sit here and look at kinda whose interested and whose not and whose saying, well we just kinda have this plan that was approved for us and so we're going through the motions, then that kinda looks like what's going on here. So thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Pool, thank you. That is all I have signed up to speak.

MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chair?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir.

MR. ANDERSON: I'd like to make one comment, and just for the Commissioners, so we, we go through this all the time and we can't see stuff like gas stations. Our decision can't be made on what a piece of paper says in front of us.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Correct.

MR. ANDERSON: So let's be clear about that. But as far as, I just wanna get this outta the way cause I understand, completely understand everybody's, you know, not wanting to move forward with this. I'm gonna back the same position I had when it came to us beforehand, which is based on the parcel, based on Staff's recommendation, based on the Comprehensive Plan that we worked countless, countless hours on. It's within the Comprehensive Plan. So I have to agree with Staff. So I would actually like to make a motion that we send this forward with a recommendation of approval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: It's been moved and – Ms. Frierson and Mr. Greenleaf? It's not, there's no second yet.

MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, there's no second.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah, so Ms. Frierson and Mr. Greenleaf.

MR. ANDERSON: No, I don't think – did we get a second?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: We did not get a second.

MS. FRIERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. No. No, I was not raising my hand to second by no way. Mr. Chairman, I pay attention to the definitions before us because they're out guiding principle. How, I also have respect for our Staff because I don't think that they would intentionally mislead us, but when I have personal knowledge that the definitions before us, for example on page 10, the one that characterized this as beyond the limits of service of the municipalities can receive convenience merchandising, an service, when I personally know that that is an alternative fact. And when I [laughter] no, I'm serious, I mean, it is. And when I listen to the fine residents of the area who've taken

1 the time out of their schedules and their lives to give us factual testimony, and I too live 2 near that area, and from personal knowledge my antennae because this is not right. It 3 does keep coming before us and as many times as it does I will oppose this. I care 4 about [applause] I will. I know what uniquely rural means, I'm gonna request my 5 colleague and let him speak, but I am not fooled by slick statements, lawyers or 6 whomever, I go by what I know is to be truthful. 7 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Greenleaf. MR. GREENLEAF: Mr. Chair, I'm, I'm different than most of the folks on this 8 9 Commission. I'm new so I did not participate in the development of the plan, but I know 10 what I know. And this is what I know. First of all it unnerves me greatly, the reason why 11 I'm on this Commission is that we have huge tracts of developed land that's now brown 12 field space where people have up and moved and gone further and further out. I'm 13 totally against that. That is sprawl, I'm against it, and as long as I'm on this Commission

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Mr. Tuttle?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. TUTTLE: Suddenly this has turned into a political body which it is not.

previous proposal before it, I will not vote for expanded development, period. [Applause]

I will fight for it and I will fight for the people. I will not be voting for this or like the

MR. GREENLEAF: That's not political. It's not political.

MR. TUTTLE: This has turned into a political body and it is not a political –

MR. GREENLEAF: That was not a political statement. That was, that was not a political statement.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Tuttle has the floor.

MR. TUTTLE: - it is not a political body and I would like to defend Staff in the respect that if a property owner has a right to bring something forward, Staff is obligated to proceed with that. Whether it's one time or 100 times, the law is the law and they're only doing what they're charged to do. So I think Staff does a good job and has been fair. Now whether this Council determines whether it should go forward or not is a different matter, but I don't think we should come here and impugn the Staff so I just wanted to say that I appreciate what Staff does for us and for the County.

MR. ANDERSON: Agreed and we're – so let me just say this, and I do appreciate the Staff and I appreciate everybody coming out. But again, we are not a political body. We are put up here to look at a tract of land and that tract of land we have to look at does it belong there? Are we doing our job as planners? Not as emotional, okay? As planners. And if we're looking at parcels we have to take stuff out like gas stations. We cannot even think that that is going to be a gas station. Okay? It could be left completely empty.

Audience disruption

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Excuse me, guys.

MR. ANDERSON: Excuse me. When we look at a parcel of land we cannot automatically assume gas station. We have to say, does this fit within the current Comprehensive Plan. Sometimes it does, sometimes it doesn't. Okay? And that's what planning is when we do that. Sometimes, I mean, I've been here when there have been a line out of the room, okay? And, and I think that because the, the public's opinion has to be heard and I appreciate everybody's coming up and talking. But my position is the same that it was before is this is a tract of land that is sitting in an area at an

intersection, and we can go through the semantics of a lotta things, but it is sitting at an intersection and it meets the guidelines of our code, or excuse me, of our Comprehensive Plan that we all did work on. Now again, there are some guidelines and we have been looking at them, but that's to the, to fellow Commission Members, that's my personal opinion on this issue is we have to look at the parcel and see where it fits based on how we look to see the, the community grow. And we did lay a barrier and we voted on it and talked, good Lord, for a long time about it, and that was the Langford Road. That being the limit. That Langford Road, if I'm not mistaken, help me with this Tracy.

MS. HEGLER: It's a change in future land use designation.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Yes, that's right. And we worked hard with the community, with everybody here. We worked very, very hard on that. So again, my position is the same that it was last time. It fits merely from a planning perspective. So that's where I stand on it.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Greenleaf. Did you have another comment?

MR. GREENLEAF: I just wanna make a statement. My commentary was not in any shape or form political. I am new to the Body, I did not participate in the development of the Comprehensive Plan. I just know what I know and I'm not in favor of expanded sprawl.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, Ms. Frierson?

MS. FRIERSON: Mr. Chairperson and other Commissioners and community members as well, I'm not being political either. I'm looking at the definitions, being guided by that, and as I mentioned I have personal knowledge because I live in the area

1	and there's some inconsistencies. I have great respect for the Staff. I attend the
2	trainings. I love doing that and I will continue to do so. But on this particular issue I will
3	vote as I've done before because in my opinion there is a major contradiction between
4	the printed information before us as it has already been explained and articulated very
5	well by the community members, and what actually characterizes a rural area.
6	MR. ANDERSON: Well, and I, and I think we need to look at this particular area
7	when we're looking at the Comprehensive Plan again. Because do we define this area
8	as rural or do we define this area as suburban? Because, I mean again, we, we use, we
9	use a very generalized, you know, statement when we say rural.
10	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Can you speak to that, Tracy?
11	MS. HEGLER: It's in your report, it's neighborhood, medium density is the future
12	land use designation.
13	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah.
14	MR. ANDERSON: So it's not, when we use the word rural that is some of our
15	zonings and, and I think, you know –
16	MR. GREENLEAF: Mr. Chairman, have we, have we called the question?
17	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: We, we're about to, I'm just making sure that
18	everybody had an opportunity to participate in conversation.
19	MR. GREENLEAF: Thank you.
20	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, I did, I had a motion.
21	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Would you repeat that motion for us?
22	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, my motion is that we accept Staff's recommendation of
23	approval and send this forward to Council with a recommendation of approval.

1	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Is there a second?
2	MR. TUTTLE: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we
4	send Case No. 16-042 MA forward to Council with a recommendation for approval. All
5	in favor signify by raising your hand? All opposed?
6	[Approved: Gilchrist, Anderson, Tuttle; Opposed: Greenleaf, Frierson, Brown; Recused:
7	Palmer; Absent: Cairns, Theus]
8	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Split vote. So how do we deal with that Tracy?
9	MS. HEGLER: It just goes forward without a recommendation.
10	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Without a recommendation? So, so this will move
11	forward to Council with, with a recommendation of no vote and they will meet back in
12	these Chambers again on February the 28 <sup>th</sup> so you feel free to be able to come back at
13	that time.
14	[Inaudible discussion]
15	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright next case? Folks, if you want to have your
16	conversations feel free and do that but we've gotta continue on with the meeting, so
17	please help us out by having your conversations outside, please. Thank you. Alright,
18	next case.
19	MS. HEGLER: Oh yeah, you wanna get Mr. Palmer?
20	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Oh yeah, somebody better get -
21	CASE NO. 16-043 MA:
22	MS. HEGLER: You ready for me to proceed? Okay. Case 16-43, Applicant is
23	Carl Gibson at Montgomery Lane. It's 2 ½ acres, currently zoned Rural and the

1 | requested rezoning is for Heavy Industrial, HI. As you probably know Heavy Industrial is

- 2 intended to primarily accommodate uses of a manufacturing and industrial nature.
- 3 | Secondly, functions that help with that such as distribution, storage and processing can
- 4 be considered. The area is surrounded mostly by residential or undeveloped uses.
- 5 There is a light industrial or M1 use to the south of the property. The 2015
- 6 Comprehensive Plan designates this area as Rural in its future land use element. Of
- 7 | course, rural areas are those where rural development and smaller agricultural

8 operations are appropriate. Rural areas should be designed to maintain large tracts of

9 undisturbed land, particularly areas of prime environmental value. The desired

development pattern for these areas should be designed to accommodate single-family

residential developments in a highly rural setting. Staff really felt that since the HI district

is to accommodate of a manufacturing and industrial nature it would not comply and

contradict the intent of the Comprehensive Plan with the desire to keep this area rural

and residential in nature. So for those reasons we, we recommended disapproval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Thank you. Any questions for Staff? We do have several persons signed up to speak. And again when we call your name please give us your name and address for the Record. The Applicant, Carl Gibson?

#### **TESTIMONY OF CARL GIBSON:**

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. GIBSON: My name's Carl Gibson at 1014 Montgomery Lane. I just bought some property on 1014 Montgomery Lane. I been running a truck repair company there. The building's setting on a, the M1 property and I guess when I bought the property it has this addition to the land attached to the property that was not zoned as commercial. At the time of the purchase, I didn't find out about that till we kinda got into a little bit

from the attorney's office, so what I was trying to as of right now, most of the property in the surrounding area is mostly trees, the church across the street, and one individual stay on the far end of the property. I was thinking if I could do the rezoning can possibly put a buffer on the back end of the property if the, if someone got complaints far as any additional noise. But the thing about, the property that the building sets on is M1. The property I wanted rezoned is gonna be used for parking only, you know, just vehicle being parked on that additional property. And far as the area, they do have a church across the street which we do not operate on Sundays. That's not, that's basically what I was gonna speak for on behalf of, on that particular property. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. James Davis.

### **TESTIMONY OF JAMES DAVIS:**

MR. DAVIS: My name is James Davis, I live at 1116 Montgomery Lane, been there since '75. This property he's talking about right here was a compromise done here with Bernice Scott back in 1990. They built that building over there before they had it zoned. It was a big argument and a fight the whole time with it. So what they come to conclusion with, this piece of property right behind it, this, Curtis Hinson bought it, he was gone use it for a buffer between residential and that. That was part of the compromise they was gone make. And for the water runoff. He built that land up where them buildings are and that water will not, has to run somewhere. That's some low land on the, you know, really low land. But it was a deal made before that and Bernice Scott pushed that deal through because the man had already built the building before it was zoned, so they all got I guess feeling sorry for him about the building. But that is a residential, they'll tear the road up, them big dump trucks with a single road there. This

- 1 | two-lane road right there, it's already tore up where the people enters that building.
- 2 Actually when you turn off of Bluff Road into there you got to stop if there's a car coming
- 3 and go around, the holes is already there. So we are opposing any change of
- 4 | commercial, I mean, any kinda commercial property in there on this part of it. We had to
- 5 eat it the last time because of what happened, the way it, the way it happened. But it did
- 6 do it and so it's been a pretty well and we fighting anybody wanna commercialize that
- 7 property cause it is residential property. Thank you.
  - CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.
- 9 MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 10 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir?
- 11 MR. BROWN: May I ask a question, please?
- 12 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Absolutely.
  - MR. BROWN: What is on that corner of Bluff Road and Montgomery Lane? I'm asking Staff.
    - MS. HEGLER: Is that a fabrication?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah, that's where the fabrication [inaudible]. It made a, when they built that what he did was fab stainless steel hoods and stainless steel kitchen stuff and signs, that type of thing. Now what they've done now, the boy that's got it now, it's got a septic tank service, so he's gone have septic tank trucks there to do it now, that's what's going on now. So the church across the street's already opposing this, it's the AME Church right there, we all came up here before. The church, the whole community was up here against this, about them doing that. But anyway we still had to eat it because that's what ended up happening, but the part of the compromise with this piece of

1	property he's talking about was gone be a buffer and, and it would absorb the water the
2	way he built the buildings up to, to do that.
3	MR. BROWN: Where the septic tank business is now.
4	MR. DAVIS: That's right. It's right there now. Right on the corner of Bluff Road
5	and Montgomery Lane is where he moved his – Triple A set the tank.
6	MR. BROWN: What I'm trying to understand, Mr. Chairman, and maybe you can
7	help me out here.
8	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Um-hum?
9	MR. BROWN: Is this buffer that this presenter is talking about is the property in
10	question?
11	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: That is correct.
12	MR. BROWN: Is that right?
13	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: That's right.
14	MR. BROWN: And that, part of that deal was for that to be, to absorb whatever
15	was happening with the property on the corner of Bluff Road and Montgomery Lane?
16	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Um-hum.
17	MR. BROWN: Am I understanding that correctly?
18	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I think, is that, is that –
19	MR. TUTTLE: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I think that's right.
21	MR. BROWN: I just wanna understand, make sure I understand what's being
22	said.
23	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: That's what I understand, Mr. Brown.

MR. PRICE: We don't know anything about the reason of how, of any deals that were worked on for that development, we just know that that property, the front was rezoned. So we don't know what the deals are, what was worked behind the scenes on that.

MR. BROWN: I'm not suggesting Staff knows that, I'm just asking the question cause the gentleman raised it.

MR. PRICE: I understand, I was just pointing out that we, there's no way for us to document that.

MR. BROWN: No, no, I understand. That's why I didn't ask Staff.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Right.

MR. DAVIS: This all took place in 1990, and it was a big hassle the whole time with everything, but when the – cause it did, it was gonna affect the community, the drainage, the whole shooting match. And we're trying to keep it, and it is a residential area, everything up in there is residential.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Wilbur Gordon?

# **TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM GORDER:**

MR. GORDER: I live at 123 Rose Drive, which is in the edge of Columbia, but my family home place is at Joseph Wesley and Montgomery, and I'm talking for my sister, too, she lives there. And what it is, like Mr. Campbell or Davis said, when they built the place there was no retention ponds or areas at all for the place. And it started off with the one building, then he added another, then another one, then another one to

1 where now the 2 ½ acres is about 2 acres of buildings. And what isn't a building has 2 been faced forward parking which consists of gravel, screenings and asphalt and all that 3 to where water cannot soak in there also. So the water has, since the place has been 4 built and he kept adding on to it, has just gotten worse and worse for the people that are 5 down there. Plus, if they go in like they want to and add some more parking there's no, 6 gonna be no buffer zone between that business and a couple of the houses that 7 residents that are living down there. And that's my, my concern is the buffer and also 8 the water. And I would just like that to be considered in your considerations. 9 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir. Thank you, sir. 10 MR. GORDER: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Um-hum. Can't pronounce this name but it's at 1120 -12 oh 1018? Okay, alright. 13 **TESTIMONY OF JAMES RICHBURG:** 14 MR. RICHBURG: My name is James Richburg, 1120 Montgomery Lane. 15 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay James, what's your last name, sir? 16 MR. RICHBURG: Richburg. 17 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Richburg, okay. 18 MR. RICHBURG: I own two houses out there, 1120 Montgomery Lane and 1128 19 Montgomery Lane. And they zoned that shop up there behind our backs. We don't want 20 that parking lot put in there. Cars can't stop the water from running, tear the roads up, 21 what roads left they gone tear it up with more dump trucks running up and down there. 22 That's all I got to say. 23 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Montgomery [sic]. Mary Richburg?

### **TESTIMONY OF MARY RICHBURG:**

MS. RICHBURG: My name is Mary Richburg, 1120 Montgomery Lane. That was my husband. He's lived there over 43 years. We don't have pizza delivery in our neighborhood. [Laughter] What can I say? And we'd like to keep it that way. But when I stop and think of how a similar street just down from us that ran between Bluff and Garners Ferry, same as what we're talking about now, one piece at a time you changed the zoning until it's all commercial. And the homeowners left. We don't want that. We don't wanna see it happen again. And when I see my husband head out on his tractor and he goes from this side of the road and he decides to plow over on this side, and here comes the big trucks. And by the way, I did see this morning when I drove past those, when he mentioned vehicles we're not talking your automobile or your personal little truck, no. And that big dump truck when it goes over the tractor because he might've been going too slow. That's what I don't want.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you.

MS. RICHBURG: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Absolutely. Mr. Byrd, alright.

# **TESTIMONY OF ULYSSES BYRD:**

MR. BYRD: My name is Ulysses Byrd and I happen to live at 1018 Montgomery Lane. So that little 2 ½ acre tract you're looking at is right next to my 10 acre tract. So this 2 ½ acre tract that you're talking about it would be a major impact on my property. And next thing is everybody's already heard about the, from my, members of my community about these trucks. Well like he said, these are not just pickup trucks that's coming in, these are big vehicles that'll be coming in and out. And this property is,

1	excuse me, the entrance to this 2 ½ acre tract is coming out on Montgomery Lane,
2	which is only, you know, one way traffic, like going in and out. So these trucks would
3	create a big problem for traffic in that area. I mean, we have the people from
4	Westinghouse coming in and out, going up and down Bluff Road and those big trucks
5	pulling out and coming in to that little small acre tract right there would create a big
6	problem. And I respectfully request that you all disapprove this request for rezoning. I
7	have no problem with the gentleman wanting to come and conduct his business on that
8	little tract that he has there previously, but I do have a problem with it being expanded to
9	impact my personal resident, which I live on that tract. So again, I respectfully request
10	that you disapprove it and I appreciate your time.
11	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Byrd. That's all we have signed up to
12	speak. Comments, questions, motions?
13	MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman?
14	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir.
15	MR. BROWN: I move that the, this – 16-043 MA go forward to County Council
16	with the recommendation of disapproval for the reasons stated by Staff.
17	MS. FRIERSON: I second.
18	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Okay, all in favor of sending Case No. 16-43 MA
19	forward to Council with a recommendation for disapproval please raise your hand? All
20	opposed?
21	[Approved to deny: Palmer, Greenleaf, Frierson, Tuttle, Gilchrist, Anderson, Brown;
22	Absent: Cairns, Theus]

2

3

23

# 4 CASE NO. 16-044 MA:

44.

5 MS. HEGLER: Mr. Chairman, the real 16-44. Applicant is Gabriel McFadden, 6 location is Dutch Fork Road, 1.21 acres, currently zoned Rural, request is for GC 7 General Commercial. As you know the General Commercial District is intended to 8 accommodate a variety of commercial and nonresidential uses that are characterized 9 by, primarily by retail, office and service establishments that are oriented primarily to 10 major traffic arteries. The 2015 Richland County Comprehensive Plan designates this 11 area as neighborhood, low density. A lot like what we've talked about earlier today with 12 medium density, this is primarily for residential, but low density development. 13 Commercial development should be located within nearby neighborhood activity centers 14 and may be considered for location along main road corridors and within a contextually 15 appropriate distance from the intersection of a primary arterial. The desired 16 development pattern is for lower density, single-family neighborhood developments. 17 Again, small scale neighborhood commercial establishments could be located within 18 neighborhood activity centers. This is not such a place, Staff is of the opinion that the 19 proposed rezoning would not be consistent with the objectives of the plan. Particularly 20 the plan recommends commercial development within a neighborhood activity center or 21 along a primary arterial. The subject parcel's not at a traffic junction and it's not within 22 the contextually appropriate distance of one. Staff also felt that this would encourage

strip development and leapfrogging and therefore recommended disapproval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And we are a recommending Body to County Council.

They will meet back in these Chambers on February 28th. Thank you all. Next case, 16-

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, Questions for Staff? We do have a person 2 signed up to speak. Is the Applicant available? Applicant's not available? Roger 3 Lawrence? Okay. 4 **TESTIMONY OF ROGER LAWRENCE:** MR. LAWRENCE: Roger Lawrence, 620 Willowood Parkway, Chapin, South 5 6 Carolina. I'm kinda representing our Cedar Cove Property Owner's Association. If I 7 could ask, we would just like to know what intent they have to build on this property, 8 have they said? 9 MS. HEGLER: I, I can look at the file. Typically we – it's a rezoning request which 10 would allow for a number of uses, but I can see what they said. If I can find the right 11 one. Mr. DeLage, do you remember? 12 MR. DELAGE: [Inaudible] I think the bank just had a piece of property. 13 MS. HEGLER: Ahh, yeah this looks to be bank owned. So they did not list a 14 proposed use of the property they just asked for this rezoning, which would allow for a 15 lotta different things. 16 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm sorry? 17 [Greenleaf out 2:50] 18 MS. HEGLER: It's a bank owned request and they did not list a proposed use of 19 the property. So they're asking for a rezoning of General Commercial which would allow 20 for a number of potential uses. 21 MR. LAWRENCE: Well, I noticed on the thing, and I'm all new and you guys do a 22 great job, I'm all new to this so first time. But see that you all disapproved of it, so I

23

guess y'all see that it doesn't hold any use, so.

1	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well, this board will still have to vote to determine its
2	pleasure, but the Staff has recommended disapproval to this Body.
3	MS. HEGLER: It goes from us to the Planning Commission, they'll vote next.
4	MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, well thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Lawrence. That's all we have
6	signed up to speak. Motions?
7	MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chair, I make a motion that we send Case No. 16-044 MA
8	ahead to Council with a recommendation of disapproval.
9	MR. BROWN: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright, it's been moved and properly seconded that we
11	send Case No. 16-044 forward to Council with a recommendation of disapproval. All in
12	favor please signify by raising your hand. All opposed?
13	[Approved to deny: Palmer, Frierson, Tuttle, Gilchrist, Anderson, Brown; Absent for
14	vote: Greenleaf; Absent: Cairns, Theus]
15	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And again, we are a recommending Body to County
16	Council and so they will meet back in these Chambers on February the 28 <sup>th</sup> . Thank you.
17	I think that's all we have.
18	MS. HEGLER: Nope, the sixth one.
19	MR. ANDERSON: I think that was Consent.
20	MS. HEGLER: No, the fifth case was Consent. Did you take 6 off too?
21	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, I don't have, I didn't have anyone signed up to
22	speak on case number 6. I thought we said 6.

1	MS. HEGLER: We'll just have to quickly present it, I don't have it has having
2	been removed. I have it -
3	MR. TUTTLE: Yeah, we did remove it.
4	MS. HEGLER: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: So case number 6 was removed during –
6	MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we send Case 17-02 MA
7	forward to Council with a recommendation for approval.
8	MR. BROWN: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: It's been moved and properly seconded that we send
10	Case No. 17-02 MA forward to Council for approval. All in favor?
11	[Approved: Palmer, Frierson, Tuttle, Gilchrist, Anderson, Brown; Absent for vote:
12	Greenleaf; Absent: Cairns, Theus]
13	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright.
14	MS. HEGLER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Great. Okay, now if that's it on the cases. Other
16	Business?
17	MR. ANDERSON: Can we fix my microphone?
18	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, we'll, we will get you – I guess.
19	MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Chairman, having been involved with this Body for a while, the
20	words from the desk here are very important and from time to time they could be used
21	in other contexts and litigation and other things. So I just caution us all as board
22	members to remember that anything we say is recorded and has been and will be used
23	against us when it's appropriate, and so we just have to always be careful what we say,

1	whether you know, it's a comment to somebody in the audience or if we disagree with
2	Staff, etc., etc., we just have to be really careful. Cause I think that's important that
3	we're – it carries a lotta weight and it can't come back to hurt us at some point in time.
4	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: That is certainly a worthy, I certainly learned that and
5	being on this Commission, and I would certain applaud –
6	MR. TUTTLE: Yeah, you remember so I'm just saying that's an ordeal, so.
7	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, absolutely. So I certainly would echo those
8	sentiments as well. And I have a few more comments to make about that in the
9	Chairman's Report. But yes, ma'am, Ms. Frierson.
10	MS. FRIERSON: I have a question. In the past we've been talking about we're
11	going to have a, I guess a meeting/conference or something to clarify definitions and
12	certain things, and we haven't done it yet. You know what I'm talking about?
13	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Sure.
14	MS. FRIERSON: When are we gonna do it cause that's very, very important. And
15	it is consistent with some of what Mr. Tuttle just mentioned in my opinion.
16	MS. HEGLER: I'm so glad you brought that up, Ms. Frierson. Because I have sat
17	here very quietly all day.
18	MR. ANDERSON: Did y'all have, like a pow-wow before this?
19	MS. HEGLER: Who's that?
20	MR. ANDERSON: Was that planned?
21	MS. HEGLER: Ms. Frierson and I? No. [Laughter] You know, we had this same
22	dilemma a year ago now. I won't disclose anything, well we're in the room. We had this
23	same conversation and, and it was Staff's recommendation at that time, because we

had such a different opinion of what we were reading, which is completely natural. We could read the same poem and take away a completely different inference. So Mr. Tuttle. I thank you for standing up for Staff, it's really unfair I think to sometimes criticize Staff because we read something some way, we're just, we've given the same book you are and we just read it a certain way. But it is your job to then reinterpret that. That is exactly what your position is and what your job is to do. So we suggested that we look at these definitions and that we consider them, because they are problematic. We also have a hard time enforcing them and implementing them because there is a problem. It probably was on your agenda for six or seven months and we either did not have enough of a body to really take it on or, you know, it was a long meeting and we were tired, or folks left halfway through, I mean, I'm just, I'm being completely honest. And we just, we just took it off the agenda. It just became something, it just clearly no longer seemed like a priority. So I could say either A, let's bring it up again which we're happy to do and y'all come back with some recommendations because we could do it until we're blue in the face but if it doesn't make sense to you as interpreters of this same language it's not very helpful. Or remember, we are getting ready to do the Code rewrite. We will be kicking that off either the end of this month or early next month and we could, you know, really try and focus on that at the frontend and try and get some traction there. Cause the Code rewrites gonna take a very long time, so maybe there's some ways we could do this kind of in pieces, parts and get that going. But I wholeheartedly agree, this is a problem that consists and it persists and we have not tackled it because we're just reading it different ways, and it lends itself to that. You know, a term like isolated and then you tell me you're rural, I just take that to mean you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

feel isolated if you call yourself rural because it's not the same rural as some other rural. I mean, you can't, it's just the way you use those words can tend to be defined and interpreted differently. That's why there's this process, that's why we're simply the first, we're the first blush of a recommendation. Y'all are a recommending Body and then Council's the final say, that's a due process to help get through that and cut through that noise. But we, we do need to work on them so I, that is up to y'all how you wanna approach it. Staff is here, ready, we'll sit here until 6:00, 7:00, 8:00 at night if it helps us get to a better understanding of that language so that we can do better work in the future.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Mr. Palmer.

MR. PALMER: To that point, Tracy, I think I made the recommendation before earlier when we kept kicking the can on that that if we're going to put it in Code in our ordinance it doesn't need to be a gray area, it needs to be a black and white. So putting a definition in, unless you're gonna go by a definition that's commonly accepted within the community as far as NIC codes or *Webster's Dictionary* or whatever it is that doesn't lend itself to interpretation, it doesn't belong in our Code, because then different people construe it different ways and you get confusion on issues which is what we have today. So that's gonna be my contention the whole time that if, if someone's going to take a look at, at something that's not intended to be Code and utilize it as Code, then we need to outline things that say, and I believe our Code does say this, these are meant for guidelines only, but however, people don't listen to that part and they turn a definition that is clearly not meant to be used as Code and apply it as Code. So that's where the problem comes in is not reading and understanding the whole process and picking and,

and pulling out each individual point which makes your case as opposed to the, the document as a whole.

MS. HEGLER: And to that point we did present to you, I think you could look at the entire section and make that recommendation and either take it out, move it somewhere else, or make that caveat more clear in each of those different zoning districts. So yeah, Staff supported actually really diving into the entire district summary which includes the more standard Code language. So certainly you could do that in the way that he's proposed.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Somebody else? Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Yes, Mr. Chairman, my concern is a little bit different than Mr. Palmer or Mrs. Frierson, and I would ask if you as Chair would do this.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay.

MR. BROWN: We sit here as volunteers, we're not paid to be up here. And sometimes I wanna step over this platform and grab some of these people in the collar and shake 'em to let 'em know that we're not subject to some of that nonsense that they bring up.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure.

MR. BROWN: And I think that they need to be told the Staff do their job and their job is to get the facts for us and then put those facts down, make the best recommendation to us, and then we have to decide whether we agree with them or not. And then propose to the County Council for their consideration, which they may agree or disagree. But all of this accusation stuff that comes up needs to stop.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I totally would agree with that, Mr. Brown, and Tracy if I can move into my Chairman's Report to speak to that. I mean, because here is what happens in situations like that, because I think we, we too have to be careful not to excite that kind of inference.

MR. BROWN: And I agree, that's why I didn't say –

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah, and I think it's important for this Body to always recognize the position that we're in and I always defer to Staff so that when we, if and when we, somebody wants to get us in trouble for something, we defer to the people who are supposed to be the experts to give us the recommendations of what we need to have in order to make a decision. So I totally concur with your observation and, and Ms. Frierson as well, and Mr. Tuttle's comment because I think it's important for us to be reminded consistently of how important it is for our position to be one of which we debate and deliberate among ourselves about what we think is most prudent for an applicant that's coming before us, and our recommendation to Council. And I think you hear me say that after every case and I learned this from Mr. Palmer when he was chairman that we are a recommending Body to County Council, we don't determine anything, we are a recommending Body to County Council and Council is the last sayso for what we do. And I think we have to be just, continue to be reminded of that. Just two, along with that I wanna say to all the Commissioners, you know, thank you again for entrusting me to be your chairman for another year. I appreciate that and we look forward to working with you again. I thought we had a good year last year and looking forward to great year in 2017. But I do wanna ask all the Commissioners for something. We are, this is gonna be a very critical year when we start to engage in things like the

rewrite, when we start talking about looking at the definitions of our Code and all those kinds of things, and it's gonna be very, very important that we really all be kinda engaged in this from day one. So what that means is it's gonna be important for us to be in meetings when we can. I know, you know, everybody's busy and have things that, lots going on, but even when we're at these meetings it's important for us to stay here throughout. Case in point, we could've had an issue today where we would've had to remove a case or defer a case, primarily because we may not have had a quorum, Mr. Palmer had to recuse himself. So I just wanna just continue to emphasize that to all of us, and that includes me as well, that we, as we get into this very busy year looking at things like the rewrite and others that, that we really try to spend as much time as we can in the Commission meetings. I know we all can't make them all but at least as many as we can, and to remain in the meetings until such time as the meeting has ended. That's what the public expects from us, and I think it's important that we try to deliver that. So just, that's – yes, sir.

MR. ANDERSON: Can I make a quick comment -

CHAIRAN GILCHRIST: Absolutely.

MR. ANDERSON: - Mr. Chair? And thank you again for that. So here's just a thought or a suggestion. Sometimes after we get done with these meetings it's like we have this point and we're like, alright we're ready get outta here, my phone's, you know, blowing up. Back when I was on the Commission a long time ago we used to do just one to two hour little work sessions that seemed to work a little bit better because, I mean, definitely invited the public and we could – but our specific intent was to, you know, handle things that for some reason just couldn't fit on the agenda. And I just

throw that out there as something to think about, you know, maybe a month that we don't have a busy, you know, meeting schedule or week before, soft-serve ice cream?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I hear ya.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PALMER: I can tell you that when we last rewrote, when was that Geo? With Van Dine and everybody that was on the board? Ten years ago? 2003 and 2004, Tuttle, maybe you were on as well, I don't know, but.

MR. TUTTLE: No, that was before my time.

MR. PALMER: But we had scheduled work sessions. We had our normal meeting and then we had scheduled work sessions, and I'm telling you it is a page by page and you come with your comments, you don't read it at the meeting, you read it before you get here, you come with your comments and we debated each issue and we moved forward as a Planning Commission. We disagreed on some stuff but if we came across an issue that we didn't agree on we took a vote and if you lost that vote, fantastic, you move on to the next one. But that's what the Planning Commission moved forward with. What I hate to see is that we've got a document that we as a Planning Commission are supposed to be presenting forward to the community as our plan that we are currently operating under. And we aren't doing that. We are making decisions that don't comply with the Comprehensive Plan without having a reason for doing so, and if we do that in the future that opens things up to absolutely like Mr. Tuttle said, litigation, in that the County puts forward a plan and says this is where we want to see the County grow and how we wanna see it grow. And then if we as a Planning Commission don't endorse that plan or don't have a very good reason per the state rules to go against the Comprehensive Plan, to go against Staff, that is what opens

things up to litigation and it gives this County a very bad reputation across the State as a County that doesn't know what they're doing and a County where people just fly by the seat of their pants and whatever happens, happens. And it gives us no guidance, it gives us no direction. So when we rewrite these things these words on these pages mean things and people move forward based on what those words say. And so I just wanna caution everybody that, that if you're not part of the process and you don't come to the meetings and you don't participate and you don't do your homework, at the end of the day you can't have a lot to say about it then. And whatever Planning Commissions in the past have done, if someone in the Commission is not seated on the Commission two years from now and they're handed a Comprehensive Plan and they're handed the document, that's what you're supposed to be looking at and enforcing just, even though you weren't part of it or even though you didn't like a specific portion of it, that's what we as a County are putting forward and that's what you're entrusted to do when the Council sits you on this Planning Commission.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: No, I think that's a good point. And I, and I, but I also, you know, to piggyback on that, I think this is a unique opportunity for all of us, particularly, I've never been through a rewrite before, so this is my first time to actually go through this process and it helps you begin to at least understand exactly what Mr. Palmer is talking about. So I think we all have a unique opportunity to have some input in the process going forward and be a little bit more knowledgeable about what's going on. So, so I would hope that as we start preparing for this and, you know, maybe we can come up with a schedule of some potential work sessions consistent with the, the

1 rewrite so that we'll have more time to debate these issues rather than up on the dais 2 here. Yes, ma'am, Ms. Frierson? 3 MS. FRIERSON: Mr. Chair, it was very helpful when Stacy [sic] and her 4 committee gave us particular sections that we'd be responsible for, remember? And we 5 would actually do some edits and turn them into you? 6 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Um-hum. 7 MS. FRIERSON: And so that's what I'd like to see us be able to do again. 8 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure. 9 MS. FRIERSON: And I have one more question and I asked this in the past, too. 10 Not this Staff but remember you used to send us information about training that we were 11 required to take and not just training that we were required to take but other trainings 12 that we could take. And I like that but I haven't received it recently, and you explained 13 why. Could we get that again soon? 14 MS. HEGLER: Yeah. Yes, we will look at trainings. And so are you asking me to 15 send you the Rural Commercial, General Commercial and Neighborhood Commercial 16 sections again just to start stewing over and -17 MS. FRIERSON: I still have that. I'm talking about – 18 MS. HEGLER: Oh, when we do the Code rewrite. Yep. 19 MS. FRIERSON: And you used to give us specific portions that we'd be 20 responsible for on a certain date. But I know that has to be worked out with the 21 Chairperson. 22 MS. HEGLER: Yeah, makes sense.

~~

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure, yeah. So you certainly can do that. Tracy, so what's the timeline on the, on the rewrite?

MS. HEGLER: Yeah, we're gonna talk to the consultant this week. The contract was finalized last week. I'm gonna try and get them kicking off at Zoning Public Hearing at the end of this month, but that's a couple weeks turnaround. And then we would have something to probably start talking about in March with you. So let me talk to them about a potential schedule and see what we might present to you in March that you could sit down and say these would make good work sessions, they could be after or as Mr. Anderson said some other time after your regular meetings. We do, we can as Staff look ahead a little bit and say this is a light month, maybe you just wanna stay after. We will do our best with limited funds to try and keep you nourished in a way that helps you enjoy staying here a little longer. But I hope to come back to you in March maybe with a little bit of a schedule and an idea of how we're going to start so you guys can decide the best way for you to meet.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure. Mr. Palmer?

MR. PALMER: One thing we did talk about, it's kinda kicked around from time to time, I mean, if, if people want to change the time of this meeting so, you know, it can be in the afternoon, I mean, like right now it's 3:00, do I go back to the office, do I, you know, try to work from home or, or what? It's just kinda right there in the middle of the gap. I mean, I take the whole afternoon off so I'm okay to stay for however long, but I mean, if it's only gonna be a two hour meeting or a one hour meeting, you know, it may be better to do it in the afternoon or closer to the end of the workday or something.

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well, that's certainly something we've talked about and 2 I'm open to the idea of, of changing the time if that fits with folks' schedule. I mean, it's, 3 I'd like to hear the pleasure of the Commission on this. 4 MR. TUTTLE: I know Mr. Palmer's push to Wednesdays because that tends to 5 interrupt both weekends. [Laughter] 6 MR. PALMER: Also because I'm Baptist and we go to church every Wednesday 7 night. [Laughter] 8 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Good comeback. 9 MS. HELGER: Case in point, the Board of Zoning Appeals did change their 10 meetings from 1:00 to 3:00. 11 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: To 3:00? 12 MS. HEGLER: Yeah. I don't know if it has more public attendance, BOZA? Their 13 cases aren't normal, yeah. 14 MR. PRICE: The Board changing theirs to 3:00, that was, I could argue that was 15 one member who was very familiar with how we did it going back in the day when we 16 had a lot more cases. Cause we've taken a lotta of those out. It, whether they had done 17 it at 3:00 or they kept it at 1:00 it wouldn't have made a difference. Yours is a lot 18 different as far as the cases you get, the discussion that you have. With the Board of 19 Zoning Appeals we could go months without ever having a case. 20 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Right. 21 MR. PRICE: Yours is pretty consistent and I think you're gonna get a lot more 22 like these.

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well that is something certainly I, you know, I'd love for 2 us to entertain, and we can all think about it and have a conversation about it at our next 3 meeting. 4 MR. PALMER: I'd be okay with a 4:00 start, that way if, you know, if it goes to 5 5:00, great if not it goes to 6:00, it's okay. 6 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Just throwing it out. 7 MS. FRIERSON: I'm flexible. CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright. We'll decide next month. Alright? Come back 8 9 next month. 10 MR. PRICE: And what I'll do is I will get with the Clerk's Office and I'll just ask 11 them if we were to change that how would that affect their calendar. 12 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah. Okay. Alright. 13 MS. HEGLER: Done with your report? 14 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I'm good, yes. 15 MS. HEGLER: Quickly from my report, I think last time we met I discussed briefly 16 the organizational restructuring that our now hired full term Administrator Seals has 17 proposed. And I don't recall who was here, I think I did it in November. Just quickly, the 18 Administrator asked a few staff to consider how we could operate more efficiently and 19 effectively and the real quick summary is that there were 26 direct reports to 20 administration, direct departments, that doesn't include the elected officials. And just the 21 sense that wasn't operating as smoothly as it could. So the desire was to consolidate in 22 a way some of those departments. So a team was formed to consider how we could do

that and we really looked at how to do it based on the core services that we provide as

23

a County government; infrastructure and the maintenance thereof, internal support, and that includes admin and all of those folks that do things for us on a daily basis internally. Public Safety, that's the detention center and animal care services and emergency services. And then community planning and development, so community services. And in the scope of that we have proposed 13 departments down from 26. The department probably most important to you is community planning and development and that is a merger of a lot of different departments and services that relate to anything that, that deals with land development, growth, community services, neighborhood work, includes assessors, the register of deeds, anything kind of development cradle to grave. And so that has been proposed as a single department. Council votes on that tomorrow night for their third reading so it's gone through two and they're in support of it so tomorrow night would be the third reading. And I can give you a copy of the structure that you can take with you, just to keep you in the know.

#### CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Great.

MS. HEGLER: And last thing I just wanted to, you know, see how you liked the presentation. I thought it was good format and certainly recognize Brenda Carter as the lady here who helped put that together with Tommy DeLage. We are looking to do more technological work here, Mr. Seals is really into that so trying to up our game a little bit, so hope you enjoyed it.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: It was good, that's great. Thank y'all for what you do and, and the work that you do, and keeping us on track. I mean, that's important and, and so we give deference to you for that, but that's exciting. So will those folk be coming to any of our Planning Commission meetings?

1 MS. HEGLER: Whose folks? 2 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: All those other staff people. 3 MS. HEGLER: Yeah, I'm not sure, the next step if it's approved tomorrow night, 4 which we have no reason to believe it won't be, is to really get into the meat of what that 5 department will look like, it's, like I said it's a merger of about eight or nine departments 6 and a couple divisions so really looking at where we can create more efficiencies, where 7 is there overlap and redundancies in services and personnel? And the idea is not to limit 8 or to reduce personnel but to maybe re-scope folks to do something else that maybe 9 we're not currently doing or that we could do better. And that'll take us a few months, 10 but the directors have been named for each of those 13 departments. I have been 11 named that one. 12 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Congrats. 13 MS. HEGLER: Yeah, you'll probably see some different faces. 14 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Good, good. Anything else guys? Motion to adjourn? 15 MR. TUTTLE: So moved. 16 MR. BROWN: So moved. 17 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Second. Thank y'all. 18 19 [Meeting adjourned at 3:15pm]